



FIRE is a nonprofit educational foundation devoted to free speech, individual liberty, religious freedom, the rights of conscience, legal equality, due process, and academic freedom on our nation's campuses.

Foundation for Individual Rights in Education

April 7, 2003

THE FOUNDATION

- ▶ Home
- ▶ About FIRE
- ▶ Mission Statement
- ▶ Programs
- ▶ Issues
- ▶ Board of Directors
- ▶ Program Staff
- ▶ Board of Advisors

DEFENDING LIBERTY

- ▶ Cases
- ▶ Submit a Case
- ▶ In the News
- ▶ In the Mailbox
- ▶ Events
- ▶ Newsletter

GET INVOLVED

- ▶ Contact Us
- ▶ Support FIRE
- ▶ Legal Network
- ▶ Internships
- ▶ Subscribe
- ▶ Jobs at FIRE

Donna E. Shalala, President
University of Miami
PO Box 248006
Coral Gables, FL 33124

Sent via U.S. Mail and Facsimile (305-284-3768)

Dear President Shalala,

As you can see from our directors and board of advisors, FIRE unites civil rights and civil liberties leaders, scholars, journalists, and public intellectuals across the political and ideological spectrum on behalf of liberty, academic freedom, due process, legal equality and, as in the case of Advocates for Conservative Thought at the University of Miami (UM), voluntary association and freedom of speech on America's college campuses. Our web page, www.thefire.org, will give you a greater sense of our identity and of our activities.

We are deeply concerned by the threat to freedom of association and expression posed by your institution's refusal to recognize the student group Advocates for Conservative Thought (ACT).

This is our understanding of the facts. Please correct any errors in our understanding, if they exist. In the fall of 2002, the student leaders of ACT applied to UM's Committee on Student Organizations (COSO) for approval as an official student organization. (According to COSO's website, www.miami.edu/coso/, approval provides students with university recognition, access to university facilities and funding, and other benefits.) ACT's constitution—submitted with its application to COSO—states that the group was created to “assist in the





Read excerpts from [The Shadow University](#) by Alan Charles Kors & Harvey A. Silverglate.



Read [Thought Reform 101](#) by Alan Charles Kors.



Read [Memo to Free Speech Advocates University of Wisconsin-Madison](#) by Harvey A. Silverglate.

Foundation for Individual Rights in Education, Inc.
210 West Washington Square
Suite 303
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Phone: (215) 717-3473 (717-FIRE)
Fax: (215) 717-3440
Email: fire@thefire.org

FIRE is a charitable and educational, tax-exempt foundation within the meaning of Section 501 (c) (3) of the Internal Revenue Code. [Contributions](#) to FIRE are deductible to the fullest extent provided by tax laws.

[Privacy Policy](#)

exposition and promotion of conservative principles and ideas in society,” and to sponsor lectures, offer speeches, and distribute literature to this end. The constitution also states that ACT seeks to focus on conservative philosophy, not partisan politics: “[ACT] shall not endorse, support, or oppose any candidate or proposed legislation or expend funds to intervene in any political campaign on behalf of any candidate or proposed legislation.”

On January 14, 2003, the group received a letter from COSO rejecting its application for approval. In that letter, COSO wrote: “The Committee believes that the Advocates for Conservative Thought overlap with the role that the College Republicans and the Council for Democracy already play on campus.” A brief examination of these groups’ missions shows that quite the opposite is true. According to the “Statement of Purpose” in its constitution, College Republicans at the University of Miami seeks “to provide through its organization, a means to encourage participation in the activities of the Republican Party [and] to promote in every honorable way the platform and candidates of the Republican Party.” Clearly, this partisan mission stands in categorical contrast to the intellectual mission of ACT, which explicitly seeks to avoid political activity.

COSO’s letter suggests that the students of ACT should turn to the Council for Democracy as a vehicle to promote their views. That group’s constitution declares that it is “dedicated to nurturing a neutral public forum,” that it seeks to “present a variety of ideas from a neutral perspective,” and that it “pledges never to promote or advance any particular ideas”—in other words, that it explicitly renounces any philosophical identity whatsoever. ACT, in contrast, does not seek to provide “a neutral public forum”; rather, it seeks to provide a forum specifically for the intellectual and educational discussion and dissemination of explicitly conservative views and ideas. ACT does not pledge “never to promote or advance any particular ideas” or to “present a variety of ideas from a neutral perspective.” Rather, they seek to do the exact opposite. Clearly, the Council for Democracy, with its mission to provide a “neutral public forum,” is as unsuitable for ACT’s intellectual purposes as the College Democrats would be for the intellectual purposes of Marxist, feminist, or democratic socialist students.

We are troubled not only by COSO’s argument that ACT’s mission overlaps with those two other groups, but also by the apparent

double standard that COSO uses, as agent for UM, in its evaluation of applications for approval. The standard used to evaluate ACT's constitution is far more stringent than that used for the evaluation

Hispanic Professional Engineers, and the Society of Women Engineers. Students interested in business can join Entrepreneurship Club—or they can join the Generation-X Entrepreneur Club, the Strictly Business Association (which focuses on minority students), and Women in Business. Lastly, COSO allows the University of Miami Filmmakers Association, the Black Filmmakers Association, QuantUM Entertainment, and the National Broadcasting Society all to exist on campus.

COSO is very tolerant of cultural diversity when it grants approval to student organizations. COSO has registered the African Students Union, United Black Students, and Brothers Overcoming Negativity and Destruction—three groups devoted to cultural and social issues impacting African and African-American students. COSO has registered the Asian American Students Association, but it also registers the Filipino Student Association, the Friendship Club of China, the Indian Students Association, and OASIS (a group focused on Arab culture). COSO approved the Latin American Student Association, but it also approved the Columbian Student Association and the Hispanic Heritage Month Association. Lastly, although COSO approved the Caribbean Students Association, it still approved the Federacion de Estudiantes Cubanos, the Haitian Student Organization, the Trinidad and Tobago Cultural Association, the United Dominican Association, the Virgin Islands Students Association, and the Organization for Jamaican Unity—six organizations that, altogether, could fall under the purview of the Caribbean Students Association.

We do not suggest that these groups do not merit approval by the

aiding conservative candidates and issues via forums, recruitment, meetings and political action.” In their response to COSO, dated January 20, 2003, the leaders of ACT stated: “The highlighted statement is *not anywhere* within the Advocates for Conservative Thought (ACT) Constitution and consequently cannot and does not apply to ACT. The statement used was within another group’s constitution: the Conservative Advocacy and Action Club—that group was rejected for an overlap with the College Republicans [emphasis in the original].”

Given your institution’s stated devotion to the principles of free expression and association, and the self-evident contradiction between that mission and COSO’s decision, we bring this matter first to your attention (rather than to the public’s attention) in order to give you an opportunity to engage in immediate self-corrective measures. No private institution that cherishes the rights of free

Because Your Liberty is a Precious Thing
