DUNN, STANCZAK, WILLARD, ARKELL & BUGG

ATTORNEYS AT LAW .			
*		ATTQRUFYS AT LAW.	
1			
_			
		SUITE A	
		POST OFFICE BOX 3488	
	· ¥	PINCHINGTON LILINOIS 61702-3488	RICHARD F_DUNN
<u> </u>			
<u> </u>			
٠.			
	DAVID L. STANCZAK	(309) 828-6241	(1888-1963)
,	9		
¥-			
			
Test.		▲ ***	
, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
· .	.		
<u>الم</u>			
1			
-			
•	TODD E. BUGG	http://www.DunnLaw.com	LOUIS F. ULBRICH
	T SO I ICTHEED IN MINNESOLV		
	AND DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
		March 29, 2005	
	Dr. David French,	President	
~	The second secon	<u>ui tati tan tan t</u>	

how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts		Page 2
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts		I reiterate that the factual circumstances are not as described in Mr.
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts		
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts appears to be different from the factual presentation proffered in Mr.	· · ·	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts appears to be different from the factual presentation proffered in Mr.	ξ	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts appears to be different from the factual presentation proffered in Mr.		
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts appears to be different from the factual presentation proffered in Mr.		
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	L	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	į	<u>'</u>
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	,ļT	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	(<u>, , ,</u>	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	r	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	Jr .	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts		
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	<u>-</u>	
how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts	(. - 21	·
		how the factual circumstances might be "materially different" from those described in Mr. Lukianoff's letter. You have also set out what you describe as the "material facts in this case". However, your own presentation of the facts
V		CINTACTOR TO BE DESTRUCTED THE TOTAL
B	ţ'	
	-	
	*	••
, - 		