August 20, 2004

President Karen A. Holbrook Office of the President Ohio State University 205 Bricker Hall 190 North Oval Mall Columbus, Ohio 43210-1357

Sent by U.S. Mail and Facsimile (614-292-1231)

Dear President Holbrook:

As you can see from our Directors and Board of Advisors, FIRE unites civil rights and civil liberties leaders, scholars, journalists, and public intellectuals across the political and ideological spectrum on behalf of liberty, due process, legal equality, voluntary association, freedom of speech, and religious liberty on America's college campuses. Our web page, www.thefire.org, will give you a greater sense of our identity and activities.

We have been contacted by a coalition of Christian and Muslim student organizations, including the Muslim Student Association, InterVarsity Christian Fellowship, the Christian Graduate Student Alliance, Campus Crusade for Christ, Mosaic, and Reformed Christian Students, who have grave concerns about The Ohio State University's existing and proposed student organization regulations. According to both existing and proposed Ohio State regulations, religious student organizations are and will be prohibited from discriminating on the basis of—among other things—"religion" as a precondition for receiving full recognition at the university.

We consider this matter to be of utmost urgency, with the most essential constitutional and moral values at stake. We understand that each of the religious student groups listed above uses religious criteria when making religious decisions, including decisions regarding group leadership, group message, and, sometimes, group membership. Ohio State, through its current student organization policies, has taken the position that the use of religious criteria to make religious decisions conflicts directly with the requirements of its anti-discrimination policy. In other words, if a religious student organization wishes

to exist at Ohio State, the group will have to relinquish its right to choose to be made up of individuals who share the group's faith and to be run in accordance with the principles of that faith. It should be obvious that Ohio State's policies (both current and proposed) violate the groups' associational, religious, and free speech rights as promised by the United States Constitution.

We understand that Ohio State is currently a defendant in a lawsuit, filed by the Christian Legal Society, which challenges the constitutionality of Ohio State's current student organization policy. The organizations listed above desire to avoid litigation, but realize that Ohio State's policies interfere with their exercise of fundamental First Amendment freedoms. These organizations are committed not only to vindicating their constitutional rights but to doing so in a coalition that represents literally thousands of Ohio State students.

As you are no doubt aware, Ohio State cannot constitutionally control a religious student organization's message or composition. Not only is Ohio State required to grant religious organizations equal access to campus facilities (*see Widmar v. Vincent*, 454 U.S. 263 (1981)), it is also required to grant religious organizations equal access—on a viewpoint neutral basis—to student fee funding. *See Rosenberger v. University of Virginia*, 515 U.S. 819 (1995) and *Board of Regents v. Southworth*, 529 U.S. 217 (2000). Moreover, Ohio State cannot compel a religious organization to include individuals who, as participants, members, or leaders, will impair the organization's ability to share its chosen message. *See Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian and Bisexual Group*, 515 U.S. 557 (1995) and *Boy Scouts of America v. Dale*, 530 U.S. 640 (2000). Simply stated, Ohio State cannot require private student groups to conform to the university's "message" or "mission" as a precondition for receiving recognition, benefits, or facilities access. *See Healy v. James*, 408 U.S. 169 (1972).

The above case citations represent Supreme Court statements of constitutional law. No federal, state, local, or university statute, policy, or regulation can trump the exercise of First Amendment rights guaranteed by the United States Constitution. This is a basic statement of constitutional law, but universities have confused their obligations under Title IX and Title VI to such an extent that the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Civil Rights was forced to issue a letter last year that clearly and unequivocally stated that these statutes cannot be read to require universities to enact policies that violate the First Amendment.

Ohio State cannot and must not forbid a religious student organization from making decisions

"The University recognizes an affirmative First Amendment obligation to provide religious student organizations with access to campus facilities and student activity fee funds on a viewpoint-neutral basis. Further, the University recognizes that religious student organizations themselves enjoy protected status under University nondiscrimination

