

College Fee Secess Rating

University of Pennsylvania



OVERALL
RANK

BELOW
AVERAGE

SPEECH
CLIMATE

YELLOW

SPOTLIGHT
RATING

Executive Summary 1

Findings 2

How Often Are Pitt Students Self-Censoring on Campus? 2

What Topics Are Difficult for Pitt Students to Have Conversations About? 3

Which Speakers Do Pitt Students Consider Controversial? 3

What kinds of Disruptive Conduct Do Pitt Students Consider Acceptable? 4

Students' Propensity for Disruption Costs Pitt 5

How Do Pitt Students Perceive the Administration's Support for Free Speech? 5

A 'Yellow Light' School with Room for Improvement 6

How Can Pitt Improve? 6

Methodology 7

Free Speech Rankings 8

Student Perceptions 8

Campus Behavioral Metrics 9

Overall Score 11

Appendix 13



for the fifth year in a row, the Fire Department's Right to Life (FIRE), a
 national independent watchdog organization, has ranked the University of Pittsburgh as the
 most responsible in the nation for the first time. The ranking is based on the university's
 performance on 100 different issues, including its policies on abortion, gun control, and
 climate change.

The university's score of 58.807 is the highest in the nation, up from 57.000 in the
 previous year. The ranking is based on the university's performance on 100 different
 issues, including its policies on abortion, gun control, and climate change.

The University of Pittsburgh's ranking is based on its performance on 100 different
 issues, including its policies on abortion, gun control, and climate change. For more
 information, visit rankings.thefire.org.

Key findings from the report include:

Abortion: The university received a score of 38.04 on the issue of abortion, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 35.00.

Other issues: The university also received high scores on other issues, including
 gun control (16), climate change (39), and gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

Police: The university received a score of 249 on the issue of police, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 200. The university also received
 high scores on other issues, including gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

Police: The university received a score of 249 on the issue of police, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 200. The university also received
 high scores on other issues, including gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

Police: The university received a score of 249 on the issue of police, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 200. The university also received
 high scores on other issues, including gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

Police: The university received a score of 249 on the issue of police, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 200. The university also received
 high scores on other issues, including gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

Police: The university received a score of 249 on the issue of police, which is a
 significant improvement from its previous score of 200. The university also received
 high scores on other issues, including gun control (64), and the University of
 Pittsburgh (174).

In 2020, the FIRE (Faculty Involvement in Research and Educational Policy), Center for the Study of the University of Pittsburgh (CFSUP), and the Center for the Study of the University of Pittsburgh (CFSUP) conducted a survey of 55 academic departments at the University of Pittsburgh. The survey asked faculty members to rate their level of involvement in research and educational policy on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is "not at all" and 5 is "a great deal".

The FIRE and CFSUP survey found that 25% of faculty members reported a high level of involvement in research and educational policy, while 38% reported a moderate level of involvement.

The survey also found that 13% of faculty members reported a low level of involvement in research and educational policy, while 24% reported a very low level of involvement.

Percentage of faculty members reporting a high level of involvement in research and educational policy by department: 24% (249 of 251) in the College of Arts and Sciences, 22% (248 of 251) in the College of Business Administration, 20% (202 of 249) in the College of Education, 19% (105 of 221) in the College of Health, Behavior and Society, 18% (185 of 221) in the College of Human Resources, 17% (170 of 221) in the College of Information Systems, 16% (160 of 221) in the College of Public Health and Community Medicine, 15% (150 of 221) in the College of Social Work, 14% (140 of 221) in the College of Theology, 13% (130 of 221) in the College of Environmental and Forestry, 12% (120 of 221) in the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design, 11% (110 of 221) in the College of Fine Arts, 10% (100 of 221) in the College of Music, 9% (90 of 221) in the College of Journalism and Mass Communication, 8% (80 of 221) in the College of International Studies, 7% (70 of 221) in the College of Environmental and Forestry, 6% (60 of 221) in the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design, 5% (50 of 221) in the College of Fine Arts, 4% (40 of 221) in the College of Music, 3% (30 of 221) in the College of Journalism and Mass Communication, 2% (20 of 221) in the College of International Studies, 1% (10 of 221) in the College of Environmental and Forestry, 0% (0 of 221) in the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design.

Among the academic departments, the University of Pittsburgh has the highest percentage of faculty members reporting a high level of involvement in research and educational policy, followed by the College of Arts and Sciences (24%), the College of Business Administration (22%), the College of Education (20%), the College of Health, Behavior and Society (19%), the College of Human Resources (18%), the College of Information Systems (17%), the College of Public Health and Community Medicine (16%), the College of Social Work (15%), the College of Theology (14%), the College of Environmental and Forestry (13%), the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design (12%), the College of Fine Arts (11%), the College of Music (10%), the College of Journalism and Mass Communication (9%), the College of International Studies (8%), the College of Environmental and Forestry (7%), the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design (6%), the College of Fine Arts (5%), the College of Music (4%), the College of Journalism and Mass Communication (3%), the College of International Studies (2%), the College of Environmental and Forestry (1%), and the College of Architecture, Planning and Environmental Design (0%).

HOW OFTEN ARE PITT STUDENTS SELF-CENSORING ON CAMPUS?

“Some students would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion”

University of Pittsburgh ranks 6th in the self-censorship category.

More than 80% of students reported that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion. This is a high percentage, especially when compared to other universities. For example, the University of Michigan ranks 1st in the self-censorship category, with more than 90% of students reporting that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion. The University of California, Berkeley ranks 2nd in the self-censorship category, with more than 85% of students reporting that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion. The University of Texas at Austin ranks 3rd in the self-censorship category, with more than 80% of students reporting that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion. The University of Wisconsin-Madison ranks 4th in the self-censorship category, with more than 75% of students reporting that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion. The University of Pennsylvania ranks 5th in the self-censorship category, with more than 70% of students reporting that they would completely stop listening if you said something that may be opposing their viewpoint, even if it is only said to invite discussion.

1. Since the survey was conducted, the University of Pittsburgh has implemented several measures to reduce self-censorship on campus, including the creation of a safe space for students to express their opinions and the implementation of a code of conduct that prohibits harassment and discrimination.

The e ce age f de h aid he d bab de ie a each f he h ee c e ia ibe a eake ca a gedf 42% (The ice ae j a aci a he K K K Ka) 60% (Chi de h d be abe a ii ih a e a c e).

The e ce age f de h aid he d bab de ie a each f he h ee c e ia c e a ie eake ca a gedf 16% (Ta ge de e e ha ea e a di de) 32% (Ab i h d be c e e i ega).

The fa ii ada igc e ia ibe a eake ca ,c a ed c e a ie e , igh bed he ide gica ake f he d b d .Of he Pi d e ed, 62% ide i ed a ibe a ,16% ide i ed a c e a ie ,a d 13% ide i ed a de a e .

Addi a ,hi ea e a ked ab eake e e a hel ae i-Pae ia c ic ,h h e e did i ac a ki g .A Pi ,66% e bi gi g ca a eake h aid ha c a e a da age i Ga ai j i edf he ake fl ae i ec i , he ea 27% e e e h aid, f he ie he ea, Pae ie i be fee , Nai a ,60% e bi gi g ca a eake h aid ha c a e a da age i Ga ai j i edf he ake fl ae i ec i b 29% e e e h aid, f he ie he ea, Pae ie i be fee ,

WHAT KINDS OF DISRUPTIVE CONDUCT DO PITT STUDENTS CONSIDER ACCEPTABLE?

U ie i fPi b gh a ked ea he b (249) Di i e C d ,

S f i ibe a e e eech ha ei c ea ed da a ica a Pi i ce a ea .A ca be ee i g e 1, a ea 28% fPi d e aid h d e e e e , acce abe ,c a ed 17% hi ea .Si ia , a ea 46% fPi d e aid b cki ge a e e a e e , acce abe ,c a ed 29% hi ea .F he e ici f fdi i e c d , a ea 65% fPi d e aid i e ce a e e , acce abe ; hi ea 54% aid hi .S eci ca , 24% aid he hi k i gi e ce i a e (b e e) acce abe , 19% aid i e ce i e i e , acce abe , a d 3% aid i e ce i a a , acce abe .

1

C aed de ai a ,Pi de ae e ike he ef fdi ie c d. Nai a ,32% f de aid h ig d a eake ee he f eaki g ca i ee ,acce abe,48% aid b cki g he de f a e di gaca eechi ee ,acce abe,a d68% aid ig i e ce aca eechi ee ,acce abe.

STUDENTS' PROPENSITY FOR DISRUPTION COSTS PITT

U ie i fPi bgh ecei ed e a ie f i a ce fde af i ga da ch a de e.

Thead i i ai a ea bea ae ha de ae i ig di ee ad, a he ha i ig ec i a he ee ceed,ha e ed ca ceig eeche i ead.I Feb 2024, Bha i i Pa e, ag ad e f he ie i a e a ac ge i a ca dida ea d de fl ae, a ched a ea a he ie i eak ih de ab he e eie ce a he ie i .Ada bef e hee ,i a ca ed .The ie i aid ha i a ked Pa e e hee beca ei icie ba "di ec i di ec e gagi gi a iica ca aig acii e ad he eec ce ed ha hee d e ai fced Pa e' e eie ce a he ie i .Pa e aid ha he ie i ca ceed hee e "ci igc ce fdi i ad afe " f e f e fhe i a e ,aci ic fl ae i ia ic i Ga a.

FIRE e aied Pi f a a ia ee di i ha cc edi 2021 he e ad e e ed he age a ddi eda ee ch b Ji Be ed ab fe a i a cki g.

Pi a not e aied f he ide icieda e eddi i fa 2023 ee fea ig Micha e he ea keb b a de a ed. Whie edid e aie Pi f hi ee beca e K e a abe ce f c ee hi ak, he icide f he de ae he be ha he Pi de b d ha i he igc e ia ee g di ed.

Pi a ,i c a , e aied f a icide he e, f i ga de c ai TikT kab a a ig e i i ga a e ce ai, he ie i a cheda i e igai i a fe ad ic a edi had ake a ia eaci i e a adde de c ce .

HOW DO PITT STUDENTS PERCEIVE THE ADMINISTRATION'S SUPPORT FOR FREE SPEECH?

M

the college free speech rankings survey a de e ed b FIRE a d ad i i e ed b C ege P e. N d he jec k a i de ig ig c d i g he e. The e a eded f Ja 25 h h J e 17, 2024. The da ac ef a a e f 58,807 de g ad e h e e he e ed f - i e i f - ea de gee ga a e fai f 258 c ege a d i e i e i he U ied S a e. The a gi fe f he U.S. de g ad e a i i +/- 0.4 fa e ce age i , a d he a gi fe f c ege de b- de ga hic a ge f 2-5 e ce age i .

The i i a e a da f C ege P e A e i ca C ege S de Pa e , h i c de e ha 850,000 e i ed de g ad e de a de ce a i f ch i h i a a ge f e ha 1,500 - a d f - ea c ege a d i e i e i a 50 a e. Pa e e be e e ec ed b a b e f e h d he e de de i e i i he a e a i . The e e h d i c de eb ad e i i g, e i i - ba ed e a i ca a i g , a d a e h i i h i e i - a i a ed ga i a i . T e e he a e e ec h e d i e e b a c k g d a d e e i e ce f he A e i ca c ege a i , C ege P e ec ed a e i f a i d e a i e f i i i . The a e i c de de a e d i g a ge b i c i e i e , a i a e c ege , i e i e i e , h i ca B a c k c ege a ha H a d U i e i , e c ege a ha S i h C ege, a d e i g i - a i a ed c ege a ha B i g h a Y g U i e i .

C ege P e e a - a ge a i d a i ce e e ha a i e i c de de c e e e d i - ea f - ea c ege i e i e . S de a e e ed i d e a . ed e a i ad d e j i he a e a d , f h i e , h a d a c k e d ge ha he a e c e e ed f - i e i a f - ea de gee ga . A i i a i c e e e e e e i g h e de . ed e a i ad d e h a h a i c a i i he C ege P e a , a a i a b e i O S a d A d i d a f .

C ege P e a i e a - a i c a i a d j e b a e d de g a h i c d i b i f i e da a ce , i c d i g h e C e P a i S e (CPS), he N a i a P e c da S de A i d S (NPSAS), a d he l e g a e d P e c da E d a i D a a S e (IPEDS). The e i g h e b a a ce he a e b a e d a b e f i a b e c h a k a i b e , a ha a ce, g e d e , c a e a , e e g i a i a , a d a c i a a i d a . The a e e i g h i g i a c c i h e d i g a i e a i e i a i g (IFP) ce ha i a e b a a ce h e d i b i f a a i a b e d e a e e e a i e a e f f e a de g ad e de i he U ied S a e .

This C ege P e i d e d a i i a - a i c a i a d j e b a e d de g a h i c d i b i f i e da a ce , i c d i g h e C e P a i S e (CPS), he N a i a P e c da S de A i d S (NPSAS), a d he l e g a e d P e c da E d a i D a a S e (IPEDS). The ch e e 3. e d 5 b 4 0.1 (ch) 10 () (e 4.9 (e -) 5 ((a) i) e c T 81 () 5 (e R [) - 5 (-) 25 - i S a a S



The principles of free speech and inquiry are complemented by debate, challenge

10/10/2020

10/10/2020

Category	Count	Percentage
...	0	0%
...	22	1%
...	0	0%
...	37	2%

10/10/2020

Category	Count	Percentage
...	3	2%
...	1	10%
...	0	0%
...	3	2%
...	1	10%

10/10/2020

Category	Count	Percentage
...	32	22%
...	40	27%
...	10	7%

10/10/2020

Category	Count	Percentage
...	23	1%
...	2	3%
...	0	40%
...	14	10%

10/10/2020

Category	Count	Percentage
...	2	17%
...	40	27%
...	71	47%
...	13	9%

[:]

.	•		
		123	3
		27	1.7

.	•		
		11	.7
		33	22

[

.	•		
		11	.7
		33	22

• • :

.	•		
		122	2
		2.7	1

[:

.	•		
		10	.71
		43	2

• [

.	•		
		103	9
		4	31

.	•		
		102	9
		4.7	31

[•]

	10	.71
	43	.2

[]

	114	.77
	37	.23

[/]

	9.3	.3
		.2

[]

	110	.74
	3	.2

[]

	10	.72
	41	.2

[]

	11	.9
	31	.21

[]

	9.7	.7
	72	.37

• • [(•		
	70	4.7
	9	7.3

→ [(• •		
	2	4.1
	7	9

[• [• (•		
	9	4.7
	9	7.3

[• [(•		
	0	4.0
	9	0

[• - [(•		
	7	9
	9.1	1

- [(•		
	9.0	0
	9	4.0

- [(•		
	70	4.7
	7	7.3

∴

•	
70	4.7
9	3

∴ []

•	
132	9
17	11

∴ [] ∴ • 0 2

•	20	14
•	9	3
•	34	23

Category	Count	Percentage	Total
...	1	1	4
...	4	3	1
...	17	10	7
...	3	2	13
...	3	2	12



Foundation for Individual
Rights and Expression

